



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,161	12/08/2003	Marco Serra	MDE-002C1	2317
42532	7590	09/13/2007		
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110			EXAMINER	
			VO, HAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/730,161

Applicant(s)

SERRA ET AL.

Examiner

Hai Vo

Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hai Vo.

(3) Steven Kohen.

(2) Erik Saarma.

(4) Dr. Martinus Van schoor.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bashan (US 3,900,030) and Mumick et al (US 5,969,052).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Incorporation the gel particles having hydrophobic and hydrophilic constituents into the claims to show the distinction over the prior art. Additionally, Applicant's representative will point out that the combined teachings of Bashan and Mumick are improper in achieving the claimed invention in next response. Further search is required to clarify that the hydrogel does not have any hydrophobic and hydrophilic constituents as argued by Applicant's representative.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hai Vo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1771

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.